



ACC Meeting Minutes

April 11th, 2005

Attendance:

Region 1: Wally Fuller
Region 2: Paul Haas
Region 3: Gene Arnold
Region 4: Bonnie Marks, Doug Prouty
Internet2: John Fleischman
Region 5: Mike Mellon
Region 6: John Karpinski
Region 7: Helio Brasil, John Magneson
Region 8: Gary Schonfeldt
Region 9: Daryl LaGace
Region 10: Doug Slonkosky
Region 11: Themy Sparangis, Don Lake
Fresno COE: Janie Rocheford-Guest
ACSA: Absent
CDE: Absent
CDSMC Jose Velasquez
CISC: Nancy Giberson & Tim McClure
EMT: Barbara Starr
IHE: LeAnn Parker
HSN: Mike Castillo
NIC: Russ Selken
SSDA: Roberto Salinas
SBC: Rick Parker
Evaluation: Debby Pearson
HSN/ACC: Barbara Starr, Dave Johnston, Julie Hansen & Ruth Hubbell
Facilitator: John Ittelson

Reports

Barbara Starr reported the EMT met recently to discuss the RFP, *Last Mile*, and to schedule future meeting dates as well as potential meeting dates with NIC. Russ Selken reported the RFP process is ongoing and they are working on making the application available. The purpose is "network utilization." Applications will be online and new NIC members will be on board.

John Fleishman reported the 2005 K20 Internet2 Member Meeting will be held in Washington D.C. in May 2005. John Fleischman and Leann Parker will attend this meeting and share information. For more information on the K20 initiatives, visit <http://k20.internet2.edu>. There are two groups that will be meeting during this time: Advisory Committee Group and Executive Committee Group. The *United Nations World Food Programme* simulation will be on the California Network.

Doug Slonkosky, who attended the CUE Conference and Student Showcase, presented a video from the Showcase which highlighted interviews with students who used the Internet to develop their projects.

For review and action, the group was given a Committee Tasks sheet outlining the various areas relating to *Learning Resources*, *Professional Development*, *Data and Management Tools* and *Strategy*. John Ittelson suggested the groups review this document to determine the actionable items. He also stressed the importance of having a clear and concise rubric for evaluation purposes.

Convened into sub-committee working groups - Working lunch - Reconvened

Small group discussions

Group 1 - Learning Resources

John Magneson reported to the committee that the task is to identify grant descriptors or attributes or qualities of snapshots. There are 20 descriptors to use for ranking/rating. *Refer to small group notes summary.*

Group 2 - Professional Development

Refer to small group notes summary.

Group 3 - Data and Management Tools

- Categorized educational related tools and efforts.
- Question regarding high bandwidth need.
- Professional development - establish practices, tool use and models
- Data supporting management technology survey
- Free web based data services, JFTK, School Matters, etc.
- State efforts: TICAL, CTAP2, Williams Act, AB 75 Module 34

Group 4 - Strategy

Short Term:

- Use non-technical language in marketing.
- Prepare common PowerPoint Presentation, and handouts if necessary, to share with all stakeholders that can be tailored to audience.
- Workshop in a box idea.
- Snapshots with data.

Long Term:

- Refine sustainability matrix on paper.

Short Term:

- Identify leadership and contact persons.

Long Term:

- Make sure that person and/or group can bring others together ("cheerleader" type).
- Work with publishers, and others (i.e. CTAP, etc.) to take active role in providing online textbooks with publishers.

A presentation to the Legislature will be in mid-May. Consider addressing the issue of "what do we want to have ready by this fall?" as well as providing measurable results by March 2006.

RFP

Barbara Starr submitted a sample EETT rubric and asked the sub-committee groups to assist in developing a rubric language for the RFP. Awards will be granted in the areas of *Professional Development*, *Learning Resources*, and *Data and Management Tools*. A total of \$200,000 will be granted in each of these areas with individual project awards not to exceed \$100,000. She noted that we are not here to develop programs but rather to seek programs that are under development or are currently in use and need additional assistance. Barbara stated the importance of having exemplary projects which show HSN making a difference. Dave Johnston stated another stipulation for receiving the grant is having the program available for "free" during the period of the grant. Barbara Starr said the EMT is interested in what the ACC sub committees think and appreciates all committee input.

Convened into sub-committee working groups - Reconvened

Mike Castillo distributed the *College Culture* video series by the Borderlink Project. These DVDs are available at the www.borderlink.org. Additional information pertaining to the college experience is available on the web.

The following two dates were suggested for the Retreat:

- Option 1: August 8 and 9, 2005
- Option 2: August 1 and 2, 2005 in Sacramento (tentatively) or another location TBD.

Following confirmation of the dates, committee members will be notified. This is the strategic meeting to set goals and timelines and to discuss issues for the coming the year.

Other ideas

- At the August meeting, provide a status report and discuss the impact of the RFPs that were awarded. Consider inviting guest speakers or "decision makers" to meeting. Generate budget for next year. In addition to breaking into sub-committee groups, consider broad-based discussions as we are all probably facing the same issues. Review snapshots and topics of discussion for the future meetings. Consider inviting CUE to share information. However, we need to further define this groups' work first before we go out and market ourselves. Keep CETPA abreast of HSN activities. Russ Selken is the representative and Paul Tichinin, MCOE Superintendent of Schools, will possibly attend the CETPA Meeting in May.
- Provide presentations to various groups.

Barbara Starr requested the draft rubrics be submitted to her as soon as possible. The possibility of providing individual committee listservs was discussed; however it was determined the four committees prefer the option of "self-selecting" email correspondence.

What worked

- Email correspondence will be the means of communication.
- Group work was effective.